Position Statement on Physical Punishment (2021)

[This position statement replaces APsaA’s 2017 position statement on Physical Punishment]

The American Psychoanalytic Association (APsaA) condemns the use of physical punishment in the discipline of children and recommends alternative methods that enhance children’s capacities to develop healthy emotional lives, tolerate frustration, regulate internal tensions, and behave in socially acceptable ways.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC, Atlanta, GA) has now formally come out with policies and legislative recommendations asserting that physical punishment is child abuse (p. 8) and that it should be prohibited (p. 46) (Foston, et al. 2016). This stance is in response to data consistently showing physical punishment to be associated with increased violence and psychopathology (eg, Durrant and Ensom 2012; Straus et al. 2014; Gershoff and Grogan-Kaylor 2016; Sege et al. 2018; American Psychological Association 2019; Holden 2020).

APsaA joins the CDC in calling for policies and legislation prohibiting physical punishment in all settings. APsaA also joins numerous professional and public health organizations (including American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, American Academy of Pediatrics, American Medical Association, American Psychiatric Association, American Psychological Association) in recommending that parents and caregivers avoid using physical punishment and use effective alternatives. The American Psychological Association’s Resolution on Physical Discipline of Children by Parents states: “Physical discipline by parents has been associated with heightened risk for harm to children’s mental health, as well as to their cognitive, behavioral, social and emotional development…Physical discipline is associated with increased adverse outcomes for children across racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic groups and across community contexts” (February, 2019).

The USA has no federal law prohibiting physical punishment. In addition, there are still 19 states which permit physical punishment in schools. All this is in contrast to the international response to the data on physical punishment—60 countries have banned physical punishment in all settings, and over 125 countries have banned it in schools, including all of Europe.

APsaA identifies and advocates for three crucial interventions for the prevention of physical punishment of children:

1. **Education** about the psychological problems caused by physical punishment and about alternative approaches to discipline. Educational efforts should be directed towards parents, caregivers, educators, clergy, legislators and the general public.
2. **Legislation** to protect all children from physical punishment and to aid parents at risk.

3. **Research** about alternative methods of disciplining and managing children and about the best ways to communicate these methods to parents, educators and caregivers.

**Defining Physical Punishment**

Physical punishment has been defined as "the use of physical force with the intention of causing a Child to experience bodily pain or discomfort, so as to correct or punish the child's behavior" (Gershoff 2008, p. 9). This includes: spanking, hitting, pinching, squeezing, paddling, whipping/"whupping", swatting, smacking, slapping, washing a child's mouth with soap, making a child kneel on painful objects, and forcing a child to stand or sit in painful positions for long periods of time. Physical abuse can be characterized by "the infliction of physical injury as a result of punching, beating, kicking, biting, burning, shaking, or otherwise harming a child" (National Clearinghouse on Child Abuse and Neglect 2000, as cited in Gershoff 2002, p. 540). Behaviors that cause pain but not physical injury are considered physical punishment, whereas behaviors that risk physical injury are termed physical abuse.

Recent research questions the traditional physical punishment-abuse dichotomy: most physical abuse occurs during episodes of physical punishment. Physical abuse often follows when physical punishment is the intent, form and effect of discipline. Both physical punishment and physical abuse must be condemned. Alternatives exist which are more effective in enhancing the healthy development of children.

**Physical Punishment: A Mental Health Pandemic**

Physical punishment is a serious public health problem in the United States, and it profoundly affects the mental health of children and the society in which we live. Studies show that approximately 65% of adults in the United States approve of physical punishment and about 50% of families use physical punishment to discipline children. Yet, research shows that physical punishment is associated with increases in delinquency, antisocial behavior, and aggression in children, and decreases in the quality of the parent-child relationship, children's mental health, and children's capacity to internalize socially acceptable behavior. Adults who have been subjected to physical punishment as children are more likely to abuse their own child or spouse and to manifest criminal behavior (Gershoff 2008, 2016; Straus et al. 2014).

Spanking is a euphemism for hitting. One is not permitted to hit one's spouse or a stranger; such actions are defined as the crime of assault. Nor should one be permitted to hit a small and more vulnerable child. Hitting a child elicits precisely the feelings one does not want to generate in a child: distress, anger, fear, shame, and disgust. Studies show that children who are hit identify with the aggressor and are more likely to become hitters themselves, that is, bullies and future abusers of their own children and partners. They tend to learn to use violent behavior as a way to deal with stress and interpersonal disputes.

**National and International Trends and Data**

By 1990, as scientific research began showing a strong relationship between physical punishment and negative developmental outcomes, four countries (Sweden, Finland, Norway, and Austria) had banned physical punishment in all settings. Internationally, there was increasing consensus that physical punishment of children violated international human rights law. By 2015, convincing evidence about the harm of physical punishment persuaded 49 countries, including Sweden, Germany, Spain, Greece, and
Venezuela, to prohibit physical punishment in all settings, including homes. More than one hundred countries have banned physical punishment in schools.

The United States has not banned physical punishment, but public approval of physical punishment in the United States has declined gradually and steadily over the past forty years. However, physical punishment in schools is still legal in nineteen states. The United States has signed, but not ratified, the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), an international treaty prohibiting all forms of physical or mental violence (Gershoff 2008).

These trends have been presented in four recent watershed studies: Susan Bitensky's examination of international patterns (Corporal Punishment of Children, 2006); Joan Durrant and Ron Ensom's review of the research and policies in the Canadian Medical Association Journal ("Physical punishment of children: Lessons from 20 years of research," 2012); Straus, Douglas, and Madeirus’ (2014) exploration of the 15 major trends in psychopathology associated with physical punishment; and Gershoff and Grogan-Kaylor’s updating of meta-analyses of research on physical punishment (2016).

Are there studies of outcomes in countries which have prohibited physical punishment? Österman and her colleagues conducted studies more than 25 years after the complete ban on physical punishment in Finland in 1983 (2014, 2018). The results showed a continuous significant decline in self-reported physical punishment after the establishment of the law, and a similar decline in the number of murdered children. It was concluded that a shift in the mindset toward a culture of nonviolent childrearing can be observed in Finland.

Effective alternatives to physical punishment exist to help children tolerate frustrations, regulate tension, behave in socially acceptable ways, develop appropriate ethical and moral standards, and improve self-esteem.

Effective Alternatives to Physical Punishment

These suggested alternatives provide parents and caregivers with greater understanding of children's development, present strategies which can lead to less violent behavior in children and adults, and decrease the frustration and helplessness in parents which often lead to physical punishment (see also American Academy of Pediatrics, 1998).

1. **Listening and Talking: Discussing.** One of the most useful ways to achieve healthy child development is to promote using words instead of actions. Increasing the child's capacity to put words to feelings and actions results in increased tension regulation (awareness of feelings and ability to tolerate them without having to act), self-awareness, and thoughtful decision-making. This process is accomplished by:
   - Talking and using words instead of actions – talk rather than hit. Discuss with the child about what is safe or dangerous, what behaviors are acceptable or not, and why.
   - Listening to the child – find out why he/she did or did not do something.
   - Explaining your reasons – this will enhance the child's decision-making capacities.

2. **Discipline as Learning.** The word "discipline" comes from the Latin word for "teaching" or "learning." Children's behaviors have meaning, and behaviors are directly connected to inner feelings. Thus, discipline is a process that focuses on feelings and the behaviors that result from
these feelings. Having realistic expectations of the level of self-control, patience and judgment your child has at a given developmental stage greatly enhances effective discipline.

3. **Label Feelings.** Help the child label his or her feelings with words as early as possible. Feelings such as interest, enjoyment, surprise, distress, anger, fear, shame, and disgust should be labeled with words. This facilitates tension regulation and aids the transition to more mature ways of handling emotion. Encouraging the feeling of curiosity (interest) can be especially effective.

4. **Positive Reinforcement.** Rewards and praise will enhance the child's self-esteem when appropriate standards are met. Positive reinforcement is much more effective in obtaining short-term and long-term behavioral changes than punishments that evoke feelings of fear and shame.

5. **Teach by Example.** Set a good example for the child. The child wants to be like the parents. Children identify with their parents, and they will put feelings and actions into words when they see their parents doing this. Who the parents are, and how they behave, will have a profound impact on the development of their children. A child will follow the parent's lead.

6. **Parents and Caregivers need to care for themselves.** An exhausted, overburdened or stressed parent/caregiver is less patient and less able to strategize effective non-physical approaches to discipline. Alcohol use also dramatically decreases frustration tolerance and increases impulsivity and resorting to violence. Interactions with others and various forms of support can be very helpful to stressed-out parents.
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**Additional Resources**

The American Professional Society on the Abuse of Children

Center for Effective Discipline

Children See Children Learn
https://www.childrenseechildrenlearn.ca/

The Global Initiative to End Corporal Punishment
www.endcorporalpunishment.org

The US National Initiative to End Corporal Punishment
www.endphysicalpunishment.org

The Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

Positive Discipline in Everyday Life (positivedisciplineeveryday.com)

StopHitting.com